



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,341	10/02/2000		David A. Elko	POU920000042US1	3537
7	590	06/24/2003			
William A Kinnaman Jr				EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation IPLAW 2455 South Road MS P 386				PANNALA, SATH	YANARAYA R
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2177	
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N .	Applicant(s)	7,						
	09/677,341	ELKO ET AL.	v						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2177	_						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u>	October 2000 .								
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		min ar							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception and acception and acception are also acception. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acceptance acception acceptance acception acceptance acceptanc									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>02 Fe</u>		• •							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •								
Attachment(s)	-								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/677,341

Art Unit: 2177

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract is objected, since it is more than 150 words. A proposed abstract correction is required in reply to the Office Action to avoid abandonment of the application. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an **abstract** of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure on pp. 1, line 9-11 is objected to since some of these applications have no US Patent Application Serial Numbers issued by the USPTO for the purpose of

Application/Control Number: 09/677,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 2177

cross-referencing. Current status of each application must be added as well.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leymann et al. (Europe Patent 0817019) (hereinafter Leymann) and in view of Denny et al. (US Patent 6,330,686) (hereinafter Denny).
- As per independent claims 1, 13, 20, Leymann rendered by the following:
   "Defining a list comprising a sequence of list entries, each of said list entries corresponding to a message in said queue and having an associated list entry

Application/Control Number: 09/677,341

Art Unit: 2177

key, each list entry key corresponding to an uncommitted message falling within an uncommitted key range defining an uncommitted portion of said list and each list entry key corresponding to a committed message falling within a committed key range defining a committed portion of said list" (examiner considered the transaction is analogous to the message) at col. 7, lines 19-31; Leymann do teach analogous to message writing to gueue see at col. 11, lines 29-48. However, Denny teaches "In response to a request to write a message to said queue, adding a list entry to said list having a list entry key within said uncommitted key range" at Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 28-49. Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate inputting write message to the uncommitted list. Leymann and Denny teaches messages handling and combined to relate maintaining committed and uncommitted list of messages. In order to maintain messages lists to keep track of which are read and ready for reading as well as adding new messages to the unread list.

Page 4

- As per dependent claim 2, Leymann teaches "list entries in said uncommitted portion of said list have a defined order as determined by said list entry keys that is preserved when said list entries are moved to the committed portion of said list" (transaction identifier is analogous to list entry key) at Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 24-27.
- 7. As per dependent claims 3, 14, 21, Leymann teaches "the further step of: in response to a request to commit said message to said queue, modifying the list entry

Application/Control Number: 09/677,341

Art Unit: 2177

key associated with said list entry to fall within said committed key range to move said list entry to the committed portion of said list" at col. 10, lines 47-54.

Page 5

- 8. As per dependent claims 4, 15, 22, Leymann teaches "the further step of: in response to a request to read a message from said queue, retrieving a list entry whose list entry key has an extreme value in said committed key range" at col. 10, lines 47-48.
- 9. As per dependent claim 5, Leymann teaches "extreme value is a lowest value in said committed key range" at Fig. 1, col. 10, lines 47-48.
- 10. As per dependent claims 6, 16, 23, Leymann teaches "the further step of: in response to a request to read a message from said queue, moving a list entry from the committed portion of said first list to a second list" at Fig. 4, col. 11, lines 49-57.
- 11. As per dependent claims 7, 17, 24, Denny teaches "the step of: in response to a request to abort a read of said message from said queue, moving said list entry back from said second list to the committed portion of said first list" at Fig. 1, col. 8, lines 4-15.
- 12. As per dependent claims 8, 18, 25, "the step of: in response to a request to commit a read of said message from said queue, removing said list entry from said second list" at col. 2, lines 35-42.
- 13. As per dependent claim 9-10, "list entry keys in said uncommitted key range are assigned in order of message priority" at col. 15, lines 33-40.
- 14. As per dependent claim 11, "each list entry key has a more significant portion

Art Unit: 2177

indicating the list portion to which the corresponding list entry belongs and a less significant portion indicating the order of said list entry in said list portion" at Fig. 6, col. 15, line 51 to col. 16, lines 7.

15. As per dependent claims 12, 19, 26, "the step of: in response to a request from a requester to wait for a message in said queue:

"detecting a change in state of the committed portion of said queue from an empty state to a not-empty state" at Fig. 7, col. 16, lines 8-20; "in response to detecting a change in state of the committed portion of said queue from an empty state to a not-empty state, notifying said requester of said change of state" at Fig. 7, col. 16, lines 8-20.

#### Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 17. If a reference indicated, as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (703) 305-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/677,341

Art Unit: 2177

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239

for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

Sathyanarayan Pannala

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 2177

srp

June 15, 2003

GRETA ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINED